

## **Unemployment Rates in Los Angeles County by District**



COUNTY, STATE, NATION†	Population	Unemployment Rate	
COUNTY, STATE, NATION		October 2015	October 2016
County of Los Angeles	10,170,292	6.1%	5.1%
State of California	39,144,818	5.9%	5.5%
United States	321,418,820	5.0%	4.9%

U-6 UNEMPLOYMENT RATE††	2015 Annual	2015 2nd qtr	2015 3rd qtr
	Average	to 2016 1st qtr	to 2016 2nd qtr
County of Los Angeles	13.8%	12.8%	12.1%
State of California	12.7%	12.0%	11.7%
United States	10.4%	10.1%	9.9%

DISTRICT TOTALS	Total	L.A. County WDB Local Area	
	Population	Population	Percentage
1st District - Sup. Solis	1,967,029	1,429,368	72.7%
2nd District - Sup. Ridley-Thomas	1,977,349	451,501	22.8%
3rd District - Sup. Kuehl	1,956,453	262,895	13.4%
4th District - Sup. Knabe	1,971,639	549,368	27.9%
5th District - Sup. Antonovich	1,946,135	1,058,612	54.4%
· 			

LOS ANGELES*	Unemployment Rate		
City	Population	October 2015	October 2016
1 Los Angeles	3,971,883	6.4%	5.4%

1ST DISTRICT			Unemploy	ment Rate
	Cities	Population	October 2015	October 2016
1	Pomona	153,266	6.7%	5.7%
2	El Monte	116,732	7.5%	6.3%
3	West Covina	108,484	7.4%	6.2%
4	South Gate	96,401	7.9%	6.7%
5	Baldwin Park	77,071	8.0%	6.8%
6	Pico Rivera	64,218	5.5%	4.7%
7	Montebello	63,921	5.1%	4.3%
8	Monterey Park	61,468	5.3%	4.5%
9	Huntington Park	59,430	7.9%	6.7%
10	Rosemead	54,908	6.9%	5.9%
11	Azusa	49,690	4.5%	3.8%
12	Bell Gardens	43,106	7.0%	5.9%
13	La Puente	40,745	5.3%	4.5%
14	Bell	36,205	8.2%	6.9%
15	Claremont	36,283	5.7%	4.8%
16	Walnut	30,237	4.3%	3.6%
17	Maywood	27,888	6.3%	5.3%
18	Cudahy	24,311	7.6%	6.4%
19	South El Monte	20,878	3.2%	2.7%
20	Commerce	13,081	8.8%	7.5%
21	Irwindale	1,437	8.0%	6.7%
22	Industry	206	1.8%	1.7%
23	Vernon	114	2.9%	2.8%
	Census Designated	Places (CDP)		
1	East Los Angeles	126,496	7.2%	6.1%
2	Valinda	22,822	6.1%	5.2%
3	West Puente Valley	22,636	7.2%	6.1%
4	South San Jose Hills	20,551	4.8%	4.0%
5	Walnut Park	15,966	7.0%	5.9%
6	Vincent	15,922	4.5%	3.8%
7	Avocado Heights	15,411	6.4%	5.4%
8	Citrus	10,866	6.6%	5.6%
9	South San Gabriel	8,070	6.3%	5.3%
Distr	ict 1 Local Workforce Dev	velopment Area Popula	ıtion Total:	1,430,859

2ND DISTRICT		Unemploy	ment Rate
Cities	Population	October 2015	October 2016
1 Inglewood	111,666	7.9%	6.7%
2 Compton	98,462	9.4%	7.9%
3 Carson	93,281	7.8%	6.6%
4 Hawthorne	88,451	5.4%	4.5%
5 Lynwood	71,989	7.4%	6.3%
6 Gardena	60,447	6.0%	5.1%
7 Culver City	39,717	4.4%	3.7%
8 Lawndale	33,430	5.7%	4.8%
Census Designated Pla	ices (CDP)		
1 Florence-Firestone	63,387	6.6%	5.6%
2 Willowbrook	35,983	9.8%	8.3%
3 Westmont	31,853	8.7%	7.4%
4 Lennox	<i>22,753</i>	6.1%	5.1%
5 West Carson	21,699	5.1%	4.3%
6 East Compton	15,135	not available	not available
7 View Park/Windsor Hills	11,075	5.8%	4.9%
8 Del Aire	10,001	3.8%	3.2%
9 Marina Del Rey	8,866	3.8%	3.2%
10 West Athens	8,729	6.2%	5.3%
11 Alondra Park	8,592	6.2%	5.2%
12 Ladera Heights	6,498	5.8%	4.9%
13 West Compton	5,669	not available	not available
District 2 Local Workforce Deve	lopment Area Pop	ulation Total:	451,542

3RD DISTRICT		Unemploy	ment Rate		
Cities	Population	October 2015	October 2016		
1 Santa Monica	93,220	5.1%	4.3%		
2 West Hollywood	36,222	5.0%	4.2%		
3 Beverly Hills	36,869	5.7%	4.8%		
4 San Fernando	24,931	7.1%	6.0%		
5 Calabasas	24,319	3.9%	3.3%		
6 Agoura Hills	20,915	5.0%	4.2%		
7 Malibu	12,965	3.5%	2.9%		
8 Westlake Village	8,507	5.5%	4.6%		
9 Hidden Hills	1,924	1.5%	1.2%		
Census Designated Place (CDP)					
1 Topanga	8,289	not available	not available		
District 3 Local Workforce Development Area Population Total:			268,161		

 $\label{lem:continuous} \textbf{Unemployment Rates as of 11/18/16} \ (\textbf{Not Seasonally Adjusted}). \ \textbf{Source:} \ \ \textbf{CA State EDD Labor Market Information} \ \ \underline{\textbf{http://www.labormarketinfo.edd.ca.gov}} \ .$ 

†County, State, and National unemployment rates are seasonally adjusted (normal/predictable seasonal changes are discounted from employment data)

 $\dagger\dagger \textbf{U6 data as of 10/28/16} \text{ includes long term unemployed no longer looking for work \& persons working part-time for economic reasons.} \textbf{Source:} \hspace{0.5cm} \textbf{DOL Bureau of Labor Statistics} \hspace{0.5cm} \underline{\textbf{www.bls.gov/lau/statistics}} \hspace{$ 

Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015 Population Estimate <a href="http://http://http://factfinder.census.gov/">http://htt

 $\textbf{District Population Source:} \ \ \underline{\text{Nttp://www.lacounty.gov/government/supervisors}}$ 

Bold Blue numbered cities/CDPs are located within L.A. County WDB Local Area

<sup>\*</sup>There is a portion of the City of Los Angeles in each District

## **Unemployment Rates in Los Angeles County by District**

	4TH DISTRICT		Unemploy	ment Rate
	Cities	Population	October 2015	October 2016
1	Long Beach	474,140	6.6%	5.6%
2	Torrance	148,475	4.2%	3.5%
3	Downey	114,219	5.3%	4.5%
4	Norwalk	107,140	6.2%	5.2%
5	Whittier	87,438	4.7%	4.0%
6	Lakewood	81,611	4.6%	3.9%
7	Bellflower	78,441	5.7%	4.8%
8	Redondo Beach	68,166	3.7%	3.1%
9	Diamond Bar	56,897	3.8%	3.2%
10	Paramount	55,412	7.1%	6.0%
11	Cerritos	49,975	4.5%	3.7%
12	La Mirada	49,520	4.2%	3.5%
13	Rancho Palos Verdes	42,732	2.9%	2.4%
14	Manhattan Beach	35,818	2.4%	2.1%
15	Lomita	20,785	4.3%	3.6%
16	Hermosa Beach	19,860	2.5%	2.1%
17	Santa Fe Springs	18,026	7.2%	6.1%
18	El Segundo	17,037	3.3%	2.8%
19	Artesia	16,961	3.7%	3.1%
20	Hawaiian Gardens	14,592	5.3%	4.5%
21	Palos Verdes Estates	13,682	1.0%	0.8%
22	Signal Hill	11,565	6.6%	5.5%
23	Rolling Hills Estates	8,258	2.9%	2.4%
24	La Habra Heights	5,454	1.9%	1.6%
25	Avalon	3,799	5.0%	4.2%
26	Rolling Hills	1,893	3.4%	2.8%
	Census Designated Pla			
1	South Whittier	57,156	5.0%	4.2%
2	Hacienda Heights	54,038	4.2%	3.5%
3	Rowland Heights	48,993	3.1%	2.6%
4	West Whittier-Los Nietos	25,540	6.9%	5.8%
5	East La Mirada	9,757	not available	not available
6	Marina Del Rey	8,866	3.8%	3.2%
7	Rose Hills	2,803	not available	not available
Distr	ict 4 Local Workforce Develo	550,264		

7 LOCAL WORKFORCE	Population	Unemployment Rate	
AREAS	Population	October 2015	October 2016
City of Los Angeles	3,971,883	6.3%	5.4%
County of Los Angeles	3,761,319	5.9%	5.0%
South Bay	697,416	5.7%	4.5%
Pacific Gateway	485,705	5.9%	5.6%
SELACO	462,939	5.2%	4.5%
Verdugo	326,929	5.3%	4.5%
Foothill	297,425	4.7%	4.0%

			_
5TH DISTRICT			ment Rate
Cities	Population	October 2015	October 2016
1 Glendale	201,020	5.8%	4.9%
2 Santa Clarita	182,371	5.5%	4.6%
3 Lancaster	161,103	6.7%	5.7%
4 Palmdale	158,351	8.1%	6.8%
5 Pasadena	142,250	5.3%	4.5%
6 Burbank	105,319	4.9%	4.1%
7 Alhambra	85,551	3.9%	3.3%
8 Arcadia	58,408	3.5%	2.9%
9 Glendora	52,009	5.1%	4.3%
10 Covina	48,984	7.2%	6.1%
11 San Gabriel	40,424	3.7%	3.1%
12 Monrovia	37,463	4.6%	3.9%
13 Temple City	36,365	3.9%	3.3%
14 San Dimas	34,630	4.7%	4.0%
15 La Verne	32,681	4.6%	3.9%
16 South Pasadena	26,151	4.2%	3.6%
17 Duarte	21,990	5.4%	4.6%
18 La Cañada-Flintridge	20,590	3.2%	2.7%
19 San Marino	13,464	2.3%	1.9%
20 Sierra Madre	11,163	4.0%	3.4%
21 Bradbury	1,089	4.3%	3.6%
Census Designated Place	s (CDP)		
1 Altadena	42,777	5.7%	4.8%
2 La Crescenta-Montrose	19,653	3.3%	2.8%
3 Castaic	19,015	not available	not available
4 Stevenson Ranch	17,557	not available	not available
5 Vincent	15,922	4.5%	3.8%
6 East San Gabriel	14,874	2.2%	1.8%
7 Lake Los Angeles	12,328	8.0%	6.8%
8 Sun Village	11,565	not available	not available
9 Quartz Hill	10,912	7.3%	6.2%
10 Citrus	10,866	6.6%	5.6%
11 Charter Oak	9,310	6.3%	5.3%
12 Acton	7,596	6.7%	5.6%
13 South Monrovia Island	6,777	not available	not available
14 East Pasadena	6,144	5.6%	4.7%
15 Mayflower Village	5,515	4.5%	3.8%
16 North El Monte	3,723	3.5%	3.0%
17 Aqua Dulce	3,342	not available	not available
18 Val Verde	2,468	11.7%	9.9%
19 Desert View Highlands	2,360	9.3%	7.9%
20 San Pasqual	2,041	not available	not available
21 Elizabeth Lake	1,756	not available	not available
22 Leona Valley	1,607	not available	not available
23 Littlerock	1,377	4.3%	3.5%
24 Hasley Canyon	1,137	not available	not available
25 Green Valley	1,027	not available	not available
26 Lake Hughes	649	not available	not available

District 5 Local Workforce Development Area Population Total:

 $\label{lem:condition} \textbf{Unemployment data as of 11/18/16} \ (\textbf{not seasonally adjusted}). \ \ \textbf{Source:} \ \ \textbf{CA State EDD Labor Market Information} \ \ \underline{\ \ \underline{\ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{\ \ \ \ \ } \ \underline{$ 

Population Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2015 Population Estimate <a href="http://http://factfinder.census.gov/">http://http://factfinder.census.gov/</a>. 2010 Census data for CDPs

Bold Blue numbered cities/CDPs are located within L.A. County WDB Local Area

Methodology: Monthly city and CDP labor force data are derived by multiplying current estimates of county employment and unemployment by the employment and unemployment shares (ratios) of each city and CDP at the time of the 2013 Census. Ratios for cities of 25,000 or more persons were developed from special tabulations based on household population only from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. For smaller cities and CDP, ratios were calculated from published census data.

This method assumes that the rates of change in employment and unemployment, since 2013, are exactly the same in each city and CDP as at the county level (i.e., that the shares are still accurate). If this assumption is not true for a specific city or CDP, then the estimates for that area may not represent the current economic conditions. Since this assumption is untested, caution should be employed when using these data.